1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		388)	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LESLIE GRISHAM, Plaintiff, v. PHILIP MORRIS USA INC., et al. Defendants.	Case No. 02-7930 SVW (Rcx) Judge: Hon. Stephen V. Wilson Declaration of Frances M. Phares in Support of Plaintiff's Reply Memorandum re Plaintiff's Request for Collateral Estoppel / Issue Preclusion in Relation to Motion to Stay Date: September 14, 2009 Time: 1:30 p.m. Courtroom: 6	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	I, Frances M. Phares, declare as follows: 1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Baum, Hedlund, Aristei & Goldman, P.C., attorneys of record for Plaintiff Leslie J. Grisham in this action. The statements in this declaration are made on the basis of my own personal knowledge and I could, and would, competently testify if called upon to do so. This declaration is submitted in support of Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Memorandum Opposing Collateral Estoppel/Issue Preclusion in Relation to		

Motion to Stay.

- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of one page of Trial Report No. 40, *Robert A. Falise et al.*, *v. American Tobacco Company, et al.*, CY No. 99-7392 (JBW), In the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York dated January 25, 2001; also included is a true and correct copy of a "Profile" on this case as reported at Tobaccodocuments.org downloaded at http://tobaccodocuments.org/profiles/litigation/falise.html.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of the "Verdict Form" dated November 16, 2007 in the matter of *Menchini v. Philip Morris*, *Inc.*, *et al.*, Case No.: 00-20916 CA 15, In the Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of "Judgment on Jury Verdict" dated February 22, 2006 in the matter of *Vandenberg v. Brown & Williamson, et al.*, Case No: 03CV237238, In the Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri at Independence, Missouri; also included is a true and correct copy of a document entitled "Backgrounded" with T.J. Reynolds logo dated January 4, 2006 regarding this matter.
 - 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of a "Verdict" in *Lorraine Slaty v. Philip Morris, Incorporated, et al.*, Case No. 00-22691 CA 09, In the Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida.
 - 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of a "Verdict" in *Became v. Philip Morris USA, Inc.*, Case No. 02-01836, In the Circuit Court for the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit in and for Hillsborough County, Florida Civil Division dated April 29, 2005 [the date could be the 20th as it is illegible].
 - 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of a 10 page special verdict form dated April 21, 2005 in *Coolidge v. Philip Morris Inc.,et al*, No. RBC-361063 (Cal. Super. Ct.); also included is a true and correct copy of a news

- 1 release as reported at Tobaccodocuments.org downloaded at
- 2 http://www.tobacco.org/articles/lawsuit/coolidge/.
- 3 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of a "Special
- 4 | Verdict Form" in Realer v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., No. BC 261796, California
- 5 | Super. Ct., dated March 4, 2005. (*Realer II*).
- 6 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of a "Verdict"
- 7 | Form" in Hall v. R.I. Reynolds Tobacco Co., No. 00-1061 (Fla. Cir. Ct.) dated
- 8 December 10, 2003; also included is a true and correct copy of a nine page
- 9 untitled document with T.J. Reynolds logo dated October 31, 2003 regarding
- 10 this matter.
- 11 \ 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of a "Verdict"
- 12 Form" in Langton v. Philip Morris USA Inc., No. OO-C-442 (N.H. Super. Ct.).
- 13 | 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of a "Verdict
- 14 Form" in *Mouth v. Philip Morris Inc.*, No. 00-3030 (Fla. Cir. Ct.) dated October
- 15 | 14, 2003; also included is a true and correct copy of a "Profile" on this case as
- 16 reported at Tobaccodocuments.org downloaded at
- 17 http://tobaccodocuments.org/profiles/litigation/routh.html.
- 18 | 12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 11 is a true and correct copy of an "amended"
- 19 sixteen page "Special Verdict" forms in *Realer v. Philip Morris USA*, *Inc.*, No.
- 20 BC 261796, California Super. Ct., dated July 31, 2003. (*Realer I*).
- 21 | 13. Attached hereto as Exhibit 12 is a true and correct copy of a three page
- 22 | "Verdict A" form in Welch v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp., No. 00-
- 23 CV209292 (Mo. Cir. Ct.) dated June 16, 2003; also included is a true and
- 24 correct copy of a news release as reported at Tobaccodocuments.org downloaded
- 25 at http://www.tobacco.org/articles/lawsuit/welch/; also included is a true and
- 26 correct copy of a "Profile" on this case as reported at Tobaccodocuments.org
- 27 downloaded at http://tobaccodocuments.org/profiles/litigation/welch.html.
- 28 | 14. Attached hereto as Exhibit 13 is a true and correct copy of a "Verdict

- 1 Form" in Allen v. R.I. Reynolds Tobacco Co., No. 01-4319 (S.D. Fla.) dated
- 2 | February 28, 2003.
- 3 15. Attached hereto as Exhibit 14 is a true and correct copy of an undated
- 4 "Verdict Sheet" in *Unseriously v. Am. Tobacco Co.*, No. 011754/96 (N.Y. Super.
- 5 Ct.) consisting of 12 pages.
- 6 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit 15 is a true and correct copy of a "Special
- 7 Verdict" in *Lacier v. Philip Morris Inc.*, No. 02AS01909 (Cal. Super. Ct.) dated
- 8 February 7, 2003; also included is a true and correct copy of a news release on
- 9 the case as reported at The Data Company website, downloaded at
- 10 http://www.datacompanies.com/news/i.ndex.html; also included is a true and
- 11 correct copy of a "Profile" on this case as reported at Tobaccodocuments.org
- 12 downloaded at http://tobaccodocuments.org/profiles/litigation/lucier.html.
- 13 | 17. Attached hereto as Exhibit 16 is a true and correct copy of a two page
- 14 verdict form in Seal v. Philip Morris Inc., No. 00-21271 (Fla. Cir. Ct.) dated
- 15 | February 7, 2003.
- 16 | 18. Attached hereto as Exhibit 17 is a true and correct copy of a form entitled,
- 17 | "Questions to be answered by the jury" in the *Carter v. Philip Morris Inc.*, No.
- 18 | 4567 (Pa. Ct. Comm. PI.) consisting of 5 pages which are undated and unsigned,
- 19 though provides answers to some of the questions presented.
- 20 | 19. Attached hereto as Exhibit 18 is a true and correct copy of the
- 21 | "Judgement" in Coney v. R.I. Reynolds Tobacco Co., No. C 00-1740 (N.D. Cal.)
- 22 dated January 9, 2003; also included is a true and correct copy of a news release
- 23 as reported at Tobaccodocuments.org downloaded at
- 24 http://www.tobacco.org/articles/lawsuit/conley/; and, also included is a true and
- 25 correct copy of a news release on the case as reported at The Data Company
- 26 website, downloaded at http://www.datacompanies.comlnews/index.html.
- 27 20. Attached hereto as Exhibit 19 is a true and correct copy of a two page
- 28 verdict form in *Tucker v. Philip Morris Inc.*, No. 00- 21905 (Fla. Cir. Ct.) dated

- 1 October 4, 2002.
- 2 21. Attached hereto as Exhibit 20 is a true and correct copy of a three page
- 3 | verdict form in *Janoff v. Philip Morris Inc.*, No. 00- 3153 (Fla. Cir. Ct.) dated
- 4 | September 5, 2002.
- 5 | 22. Attached hereto as Exhibit 21 is a true and correct copy of a two page
- 6 "Verdict" form in *Tune v. Philip Morris Inc.*, No. 97-4678 (Fla. Cir. Ct.) dated
- 7 May 24, 2002; also included is a true and correct copy of a "Profile" on this
- 8 case as reported at Tobaccodocuments.org downloaded at
- 9 http://tobaccodocuments.org/profiles/litigation/tune.html.
- 10 | 23. Attached hereto as Exhibit 22 is a true and correct copy of a four page
- 11 "'Verdict form' in *Hyde v. Philip Morris Inc.*, No. 97-359ML (D.R.I.) dated
- 12 March 21, 2002; also included is a true and correct copy of a news release on the
- 13 case as reported at the website of AllBusiness.com and downloaded at
- 14 | http://www.allbusiness.com/legal/trial-procedure-jury-trial/5867754-1.html.
- 15 | 24. Attached hereto as Exhibit 23 is a true and correct copy of two page
- 16 document entitled, "Blankenship II Trial Report No. 30" dated November 14,
- 17 | 2001 in *In Re Tobacco Litig.*: No. 2:97-0204 (S.D. W. Va.); also included is a
- 18 true and correct copy of a four page document from the case entitled, "JURY"
- 19 INTERROGATORIES AND VERDICT FORM," dated November 14, 2001.
- 20 25. Attached hereto as Exhibit 24 is a true and correct copy of a one page
- 21 verdict form in *Tompkin v. Am. Brands, Inc.*, No. 5:94CV1302 (N.D. Ohio)
- 22 dated October 5, 2001; also included is a true and correct copy of a "Profile" on
- 23 this case as reported at Tobaccodocuments.org downloaded at
- 24 http://tobaccodocuments.org/profiles/litigation/tompkin.htm1.
- 25 \ 26. Attached hereto as Exhibit 25 is a true and correct copy of a two page
- 26 document entitled, "Trial Report No. 25" in the case of Mehlman v. Philip
- 27 | Morris Inc., No. L- 1141-99 (N.J. Super. Ct.) dated May 16, 2001; also included
- 28 is a true and correct copy of a three page "Opinion" in *Mehlman* dated May 7,

- 1 2001.
- 2 27. Attached hereto as Exhibit 26 is a true and correct copy of a two page
- 3 "Verdict" in Fontana v. Philip Morris Inc., No. 001731 (Fla. Cir. Ct.) dated
- 4 | April 10, 2001.
- 5 \ 28. Attached hereto as Exhibit 27 is a true and correct copy of an eleven page
- 6 "Verdict Sheet" in Apostolou v. Am. Tobacco Co., No. 02-01836 (Fla. Cir. Ct.);
- 7 also included is a true and correct copy of a news release, "Backgrounded"
- 8 Report" downloaded from
- 9 http://www.tobacco.neu.edu/litigation/cases/Backgrounders/Apostolou.htm.
- 10 \ 29. Attached hereto as Exhibit 28 is a true and correct copy of the "Final
- 11 Judgment" in Butler v. Philip Morris, Inc., No. 94-5-53 (Miss. Cir. Ct.) dated
- 12 June 2, 1999.
- 13 | 30. Attached hereto as Exhibit 29 is a true and correct copy of the one page
- 14 "'Verdict" in *Dunn v. RJR Nabisco Holdings Corp.*, No. 18DOI-9305-CT-06
- 15 (Ind. Super. Ct.) dated March 19, 1998; also included is a true and correct copy
- 16 of a document entitled, "Backgrounded for Litigation" dated February 9, 1998
- 17 on the *Dunn* case, bearing T.J. Reynold's bate numbers RJR0000000003070607
- 18 | 70044 5414 through RJR000000003070607 70044 5421.
- 19 | 31. Attached hereto as Exhibit 30 is a true and correct copy of a four page
- 20 "Verdict Forms" in Rogers v. R.I. Reynolds Tobacco Co., No. 87-2106 (Ind.Cir.
- 21 Ct.) dated on "Form B" on August 23, 1996.
- 22 | 32. Attached hereto as Exhibit 31 is a true and correct copy of a seven page
- 23 verdict form in *Blue Cross and Blue Shield v. Philip Morris Inc.*, Case No.
- 24 | 98cv3287 (E.D.N.Y.).
- 25 | 33. Attached hereto as Exhibit 32 is a true and correct copy of a two page
- 26 verdict form in *Iron Workers Local Union v. Philip Morris Inc.*, No. 1:97cv1422
- 27 (N.D. Ohio) dated March 18, 1999.
- 28 34. Attached hereto as Exhibit 33 is a true and correct copy of the "Judgment

1	in a Civil Case" in Cipollone v. Liggett Group, Inc., Case No. 83-2864 dated				
2	June 15, 1988; also included is a true and correct copy of five pages of "Jury				
3	Interrogatories" that were attached to the judgment.				
4	33. Attached hereto as Exhibit 34 is a true and correct copy of the "Final				
5	Judgment and Remedial Order, Order # 1015 in <i>United States v. Philip Morris</i>				
6	USA, Inc. (D. D.C. 2006) 449 F. Supp.2d 1, 28, aff'd in part, vacated in part,				
7	(D.C. Cir. 2009) 566 F.3d 1095	5, 1106 (" <i>DOJ</i> Case").			
8					
9	Dated: August 28, 2009	Respectfully Submitted,			
10		Baum, Hedlund, Aristei & Goldman, P.C.			
11					
12		By: /s/Frances M. Phares Frances M. Phares, Esq.			
13		Michael L. Baum, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff			
14					
15 16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28		·			
	Ì				

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)					
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) ss.					
3 4	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and am not a party to the within action; my business address is 12100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 950, Los Angeles, California 90025.					
5	On the date hereinbelow specified, I served the documents described as set forth below on the named defendants in this action as follows:					
6	Date of Service:	August 28, 2009				
789	Document Served:	Declaration of Frances M. Phares In Support of Plaintiff's Reply Memorandum Re Plaintiff's Request for Collateral Estoppel/Issue Preclusion in Relation to Motion to Stay				
10	Parties Served:	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST				
1 2	X (VIA THE COURT'S ECF FILING SYSTEM)					
13	(BY PERSONAL SERVICE)					
14 15 16 17	(BY U.S. MAIL) I caused such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing or correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after					
18 19	the e-mail addresses marked on the attached service list.					
20	(BY FACSIMILE) I caused said documents to be transmitted via facsimile to the offices of the addressee(s) marked on the attached service list.					
22	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this					
23	EXECUTED : August 28, 2009 at Los Angeles, California.					
24	/s/ Shaila Raam					
25	/s/ Sheila Beam Sheila Beam					
26						
27						
28						

	Case 2:02-cv-07930-DMG-RC	Document 193-2	Filed 08/28/09	Page 9 of 10
1				
2 3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14 15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27 28				
20		<u>-</u>	1 CDI X C	CDI 1 100 D
		Dec Me 9	et of Phares In Suppo mo Re Collateral Est	rt of Plaintiff's Reply oppel/Motion to Stay

Decl of Phares In Support of Plaintiff's Reply Memo Re Collateral Estoppel/Motion to Stay

28